arXiv:1407.8373v1 [cs.CC] 31 Jul 2014

Optimal Hub Labeling is NP-complete

Mathias Weller*

LIRMM, Université Montpellier II, France
mathias.weller@lirmm.fr

August 1, 2014

Abstract

Distance labeling is a preprocessing technique introduced by Peleg [Journal of Graph Theory, 33(3)] to speed up distance queries in large networks. Herein, each vertex receives a (short) label and, the distance between two vertices can be inferred from their two labels. One such preprocessing problem occurs in the hub labeling algorithm [Abraham et al., SODA'10]: the label of a vertex v is a set of vertices x (the "hubs") with their distance $d(x, v)$ to v and the distance between any two vertices u and v is the sum of their distances to a common hub. The problem of assigning as few such hubs as possible was conjectured to be NP-hard, but no proof was known to date. We give a reduction from the well-known Vertex Cover problem on graphs to prove that finding an optimal hub labeling is indeed NP-hard.

1 Introduction

Finding shortest paths quickly is an essential part of many real-world businesses like maps services and programming of mobile GPS navigation devices. In these applications, Dijkstra's algorithm proved much too slow, especially since typical road maps contain millions of vertices and edges. However, since road maps undergo little changes, a preprocessing based approach seems reasonable. In this spirit, Peleg [12] suggested constructing a distributed data structure in advance, allowing later distance queries to be answered in sublinear time. This distributed data structure comprises a (short) label for each vertex such that the distance between two vertices can be inferred from their respective labels. Previous work on distance labeling has been focused on finding small labels in polynomial time on a variety of graph classes [1, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11] or on general graphs [11, 13] (see also the survey of Gavoille and Peleg [10]).

Abraham et al. [2, 3] described the following preprocessing: each vertex v receives, as a label, a list of vertices x with their distance $d(x, v)$ to v such that, for each two vertices u and v, there is a vertex (the "hub") on a shortest u-v-path that is in both, the label of u and the label of v. Once all labels have been computed, the distance between u and v is the minimum over all

*Supported by the CNRS, research project KERNEL.

vertices x occurring in the intersection of the labels of u and v of the sum of $d(x,u)$ and $d(x,v)$. In this work, we focus on the computational complexity of this preprocessing. In particular, when the goal is to minimize the overhead storage needed for the labeling, it involves solving (the optimization variant of) the following problem.

> Optimal Hub Labeling (OHL)
> **Input:** A graph $G = (V, E)$ and an integer k.
> **Question:** Is there an assignment $\ell : V \to 2^V$ such that $\sum_{v \in V} |\ell(v)| \leq k$ and for all $u, v \in V$, some vertex of some shortest u-v-path in G is in $\ell(u) \cap \ell(v)$ (specifically, we allow $u = v$, thereby requiring ℓ to be reflexive)?

While Abraham et al. [2] conjectured that OHL is NP-hard, no hardness-proof was known to date. We present a polynomial-time reduction of the well-known Vertex Cover problem to OHL, thereby demonstrating its NP-hardness. Since an optimal hub-labeling can be verified by computing the lengths of all shortest paths and comparing them to the distances of each vertex to its hubs, OHL is also contained in NP, implying NP-completeness for the problem. Our work falls in line with Bauer et al. [4] who proved various exact preprocessing problems NP-hard that were designed to speed up routing or distance queries. To motivate, they point out that the majority of the known results in this area are heuristic and only few results about exact computational complexity are known. Cohen et al. [7] provide an exception to this observation, proving that a preprocessing variant called *2-hop cover* that is quite similar to hub labeling is NP-hard. Unfortunately, we were unable to reuse their prove to prove OHL NP-hard.

1.1 Preliminaries

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and let $\ell : V \to 2^V$ be a mapping. We say that ℓ *covers* a shortest path p between two vertices $u, v \in V$ *with* a vertex x if x is on p and $x \in \ell(u) \cap \ell(v)$. If x is either clear from context or unknown, then we simply say p is *covered by* ℓ. If, for each $u, v \in V$ some shortest u-v-path in G is covered by ℓ (including degenerate cases where $u = v$), then we call ℓ a *hub-labeling* of G. When clear from context, we drop the suffix "of G". Slightly abusing notation, we identify ℓ with the set of pairs (x, y) with $y \in \ell(x)$. Thus, the *size* of ℓ is $|\{(x, y) \mid y \in \ell(x)\}|$, denoting the total number of assignments of ℓ. Finally, ℓ is said to be *optimal* if no hub labeling of G is strictly smaller than ℓ. We use ℓ^{-1} to denote $\{(x, y) \mid (y, x) \in \ell\}$. For brevity, we abbreviate size-2 sets $\{u, v\}$ to uv and sets $\{1, 2, \ldots, i\}$ to $[i]$.

2 Detailed Reduction

In this section, we give the reduction of Vertex Cover to Optimal Hub Labeling, explain details, and prove its correctness. To this end, we establish a general form that optimal solutions for the created instance of OHL can be assumed to have. We show that the way in which the shortest paths are covered corresponds to a vertex cover of the input graph.



Figure 1: Figure 1a shows the result of Construction 1 for $G' =$. Vertices w and w_i are omitted. The closed neighborhood of any triangular vertex (v_3 for each $v \in V'$) is a proper subset of the closed neighborhood of the adjacent round vertex. Figure 1b and 1c show possible partial solutions ℓ_v (dashed arcs) for the "vertex gadget" of $v \in V'$: Figure 1b represents choosing v for the vertex cover, Figure 1c represents not choosing v.

Construction 1. Let $(G' = (V', E'), k')$ be an instance of Vertex Cover and let $\gamma := 8|V'| + 3|E'| + k' + 2$. We construct an instance $(G = (V, E), k)$ of OHL as follows. 1. Add γ new isolated vertices w_i, 2. add a new universal vertex w, 3. rename each $v \in V'$ to v_1, 4. add a private neighbor v_2 to each v_1, and 5. add a private neighbor v_3 to each v_2. More formally,

$$\begin{aligned} W &:= \{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_\gamma\} \\ V &:= \{w\} \cup W \cup \{v_1, v_2, v_3 \mid v \in V'\} \\ E &:= \bigcup_{v \in V'} \{wv_1, v_1v_2, v_2v_3\} \cup \bigcup_{x \in W} \{wx\} \cup \bigcup_{uv \in E'} \{u_1v_1\} \end{aligned}$$

Finally, let $k := 3\gamma - 1$. An example of the construction is sketched in Figure 1a.

Given a hub labeling ℓ for G, for each $v \in V'$, we define $\ell_v := \ell \cap \{(v_i, v_j) \mid i, j \in [3] \wedge i \neq j\}$ to denote the set of non-reflexive assignments of ℓ in the vertex gadget of v and, for each $uv \in E'$, we define $\ell_{uv} := \ell \cap \{(u_i, v_j), (v_j, u_i) \mid i, j \in [3]\}$ to denote the set of assignments of ℓ between the vertex gadgets of u and v. Note that, for each $v \in V'$, $|\ell_v| \geq 2$ since a single assignment cannot cover the shortest paths (v_1, v_2) and (v_2, v_3) (see Figure 1b and 1c). Likewise, for all $uv \in E'$, $|\ell_{uv}| \geq 3$ since, for each $i \in [3]$ the unique shortest u_i-v_i-path in G requires a different assignment between the vertex gadgets of u and v (see Figure 2).

Lemma 1. *Let (G, k) be a yes-instance of OHL constructed by Construction 1. Then, there is an optimal hub labeling ℓ for G such that*
(1) for all $u, v \in V$ with $N_G[u] \subset N_G[v]$, $u \notin \ell(v)$,
(2) $\ell^{-1}(w) = V$ and $\ell^{-1}(x) = \{x\}$ for all $x \in W$,
(3) for all $v \in V'$, $v_1 \in \ell(v_2) \Rightarrow |\ell_v| > 2$, and
(4) for all $uv \in E'$, $u_1 \notin \ell(u_2) \wedge v_1 \notin \ell(v_2) \Rightarrow |\ell_{uv}| > 3$.
We call such a hub labeling normalized.

Proof. Let ℓ be an optimal hub labeling. For each of the properties in Lemma 1, we suppose that ℓ has all previous properties. Then, we transform ℓ, in each step achieving one of the properties in Lemma 1 without destroying any of the previous properties, or increasing the size of ℓ. Thus, the result is a normalized optimal hub labeling.



Figure 2: Illustration of a gadget corresponding to some edge $uv \in E'$ (u on top, v below) with a possible partial solution ℓ_{uv} (dashed arcs). The figures correspond to different vertex covers of G: choosing both u and v (Figure 2a) or choosing u but not v (Figure 2b). Choosing neither u nor v (Figure 2c) causes additional assignments in ℓ_{uv}, implying $|\ell_{uv}| > 3$ (see Lemma 1(4)).

(1)**:** Let $u, v \in V$ with $N[u] \subset N[v]$, let $z \in V \setminus \{u\}$, and assume that u is in a shortest v-z-path p of G. Then, $p = (v, u, x, \ldots, z)$. However, since $x \in N[u]$ implies $x \in N[v]$, we know that p is not a shortest path. Thus, removing u from $\ell(v)$ uncovers only the path (u, v), which can then be covered by adding v to $\ell(u)$. Clearly, this modification does not destroy (1) for any pair of vertices.

(2)**:** By (1), we know that $W \subseteq \ell^{-1}(w)$. Furthermore, each $x \in W$ occurs only in shortest paths that end with x. Since all these paths contain w, we can replace x with w in each assignment of ℓ except for the reflexive (x, x) without loosing (1). Now, since $\sum_{x \in W} 3 = 3\gamma > k$, there is some $x \in W$ with $|\ell(x)| < 3$, implying $\ell(x) = \{x, w\}$. Then, since $\ell^{-1}(x) = \{x\}$ and all vertices in V have a shortest path to x, we conclude $\ell^{-1}(w) = V$.

(3) Let $v_1 \in \ell(v_2)$ for some $v \in V'$. Then, to cover the shortest paths (v_2, v_3) and (v_1, v_2, v_3), ℓ_v contains two different assignments, each of which differs from (v_2, v_1) (see Figure 1b). Thus, we conclude $|\ell_v| > 2$.

(4) Let $uv \in E'$ such that $u_1 \notin \ell(u_2)$ and $v_1 \notin \ell(v_2)$. Then, for each of the following shortest paths, ℓ_{uv} contains a different assignment (see Figure 2c): (u_1, v_1), (u_2, u_1, v_1), (u_1, v_1, v_2), (u_2, u_1, v_1, v_2). Thus, we conclude $|\ell_{uv}| > 3$. □

Intuitively speaking, covering (v_1, v_2) with v_1 for some $v \in V'$ induces more cost (by (3)) and will correspond to choosing v into a vertex cover of G'. However, by (4), choosing neither u nor v for any $uv \in E'$ also induces more cost, allowing us to just take one of uv into the vertex cover instead.

Lemma 2. *Let X be a size-k' vertex-cover of G'. Then, there is a size-k hub labeling for G.*

Proof. Let $f : E' \to V'$ be a function mapping each $uv \in E'$ to some vertex in $uv \cap X$. We will use f to "break ties" between two vertices in X that are adjacent in G'. Let ℓ', ℓ'' be assignments such that (see Figure 1b, 1c, and 2)

1. for all $v \in X$ and $i \in [3]$, set $\ell'(v_i) = \{v_j \mid 1 \leq j < i\}$,
2. for all $v \in V' \setminus X$, set $\ell'(v_1) = \ell'(v_3) = \{v_2\}$ and $\ell'(v_2) = \emptyset$, and

3. for all $uv \in E'$ with $f(uv) = v$ and all $i \in [3]$, set $\ell''(u_i) = \{v_1\}$.

Then, let $\ell := \ell' \cup \ell'' \cup \{(x, x), (x, w) \mid x \in V\}$. Since $|X| \leq k'$, we have

$$|\ell' \cup \ell''| = 3|X| + 2|V' \setminus X| + 3|E'| \leq 2|V'| + 3|E'| + k', \text{ implying}$$
$$|\ell| \leq 2|V'| + 3|E'| + k' + 2(3|V'| + \gamma) + 1 = 3\gamma - 1$$

In the following, we show that all shortest paths of G are covered by ℓ. First, all shortest paths of length 0 are covered by ℓ. Second, for each $v \in V' \setminus X$, the shortest paths (v_1, v_2), (v_2, v_3), and (v_1, v_2, v_3) are covered with v_2 and, for each $v \in X$, the shortest paths (v_1, v_2) and (v_1, v_2, v_3) are covered with v_1 and the shortest path (v_2, v_3) is covered with v_2. Third, for each $uv \in E'$ with $f(uv) = v$ and all $i, j \in [3]$, the unique shortest u_i-v_j-path in G' is covered with v_1. Since for each $uv \notin E'$ and all $i, j \in [3]$, there is a shortest u_i-v_j-path containing w and all shortest paths containing w are covered with w, we conclude that ℓ is indeed a hub labeling for G and its cost is at most $3\gamma - 1 = k$. □

Lemma 3. *Let ℓ be a normalized optimal hub labeling for G and let $|\ell| \leq k$. Then, there is a size-k' vertex cover for G'.*

Proof. First, let $\ell_1 := \{(x, x), (x, w) \mid x \in V\}$ and note that, by Lemma 1(2), $\ell_1 \subseteq \ell$. Then, $|\ell \setminus \ell_1| \leq 3\gamma - 1 - 2 \cdot (3|V'| + \gamma) - 1 = \gamma - 6|V'| - 2 = 2|V'| + 3|E'| + k'$.

To break ties, let $f : E' \to V'$ be an arbitrary function with $f(uv) \in uv$ for each $uv \in E'$. Let $X_1 := \{v \in V' \mid |\ell_v| > 2\}$ and $X_2 := \{f(uv) \in V' \mid |\ell_{uv}| > 3\}$. Since, for each $v \in V'$, we have $|\ell_v| \geq 2$ and $\ell_v \cap \ell_1 = \emptyset$ and, for each $uv \in E'$, we have $|\ell_{uv}| \geq 3$, $\ell_{uv} \cap \ell_1 = \emptyset$, and $(\ell_u \cup \ell_v) \cap \ell_{uv} = \emptyset$, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} |\ell \setminus \ell_1| &\geq \sum_{v \in V'} |\ell_v| + \sum_{uv \in E'} |\ell_{uv}| \\ &\geq 3|X_1| + 2(|V'| - |X_1|) + 4|X_2| + 3(|E'| - |X_2|) \\ &= 2|V'| + 3|E'| + |X_1| + |X_2|, \end{aligned}$$

directly implying $|X_1 \cup X_2| \leq k'$.

To see that $X_1 \cup X_2$ is a vertex cover for G', assume there is some $uv \in E'$ such that $uv \cap (X_1 \cup X_2) = \emptyset$. Then, since $u, v \notin X_1$, Lemma 1(3) implies $u_1 \notin \ell(u_2)$ and $v_1 \notin \ell(v_2)$. But, since $u, v \notin X_2$, Lemma 1(4) implies $u_1 \in \ell(u_2)$ or $v_1 \in \ell(v_2)$, a contradiction. □

With Lemma 1, Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 imply the main theorem which, since Vertex Cover is NP-hard on planar graphs, holds also for apex graphs.

Theorem 1. Optimal Hub Labeling *is NP-complete, even on apex graphs.*

References

[1] S. Abiteboul, H. Kaplan, and T. Milo. Compact labeling schemes for ancestor queries. In *Proceedings of the 12th Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA'01)*, pages 547–556. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 2001.

[2] I. Abraham, A. Fiat, A. V. Goldberg, and R. F. F. Werneck. Highway dimension, shortest paths, and provably efficient algorithms. In *Proceedings of the 21st Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA'10)*, pages 782–793. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 2010.

[3] I. Abraham, D. Delling, A. V. Goldberg, and R. F. Werneck. A hub-based labeling algorithm for shortest paths in road networks. In *Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Experimental Algorithms (SEA'11)*, volume 6630 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 230–241. Springer, 2011.

[4] R. Bauer, T. Columbus, B. Katz, M. Krug, and D. Wagner. Preprocessing speed-up techniques is hard. Technical Report 2010-04, ITI Wagner, Faculty of Informatics, Universität Karlsruhe, 2010. URL http://digbib.ubka.uni-karlsruhe.de/volltexte/1000016080.

[5] F. Bazzaro and C. Gavoille. Distance labeling for permutation graphs. *Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics*, 22:461–467, 2005.

[6] V. Chepoi, F. F. Dragan, and Y. Vaxès. Distance and routing labeling schemes for non-positively curved plane graphs. *Journal of Algorithms*, 61(2):60–88, 2006.

[7] E. Cohen, E. Halperin, H. Kaplan, and U. Zwick. Reachability and distance queries via 2-hop labels. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 32(5):1338–1355, 2003.

[8] C. Gavoille and C. Paul. Optimal distance labeling for interval and circular-arc graphs. In *Proceedings of the 11th Annual European Symposium on Algorithms (ESA'03)*, volume 2832 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 254–265. Springer, 2003.

[9] C. Gavoille and C. Paul. Distance labeling scheme and split decomposition. *Discrete Mathematics*, 273(1-3):115–130, 2003.

[10] C. Gavoille and D. Peleg. Compact and localized distributed data structures. *Distributed Computing*, 16(2-3):111–120, 2003.

[11] C. Gavoille, D. Peleg, S. Pérennes, and R. Raz. Distance labeling in graphs. *Journal of Algorithms*, 53(1):85–112, 2004.

[12] D. Peleg. Proximity-preserving labeling schemes. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 33(3):167–176, 2000.

[13] M. Thorup and U. Zwick. Approximate distance oracles. *Journal of the ACM*, 52(1): 1–24, 2005.